2. Simulation

The Five Functions of Simulations:

(from Hartmann 1996)

- 1. As a Technique to investigate the detailed dynamics of a system.
- 2. As a Heuristic Tool to develop hypotheses, models, and theories.
- 3. As "Experiments" perform numerical experiments, Monte Carlo probabilistic sampling.
- 4. As a Tool for Experimentalists to support experiments.
- 5. As a Pedagogic Tool to gain understanding of a process.

1. Technique

- Solution of a set of equations describing a complex (e.g. bottom-up) interaction.
- Discrete (CA): if the model behaviour ≠ empirical, it must be because of the transition rules.
- Continuous: not so clear-cut: background theory v. model assumptions

Q: does more realistic assumption \rightarrow more accurate prediction?

"A simulation is no better than the assumptions built into it" — Herbert Simon

2. Heuristic Tool

Where the theory is not well developed, and the causal relationships are not well understood:

- theory development = guessing suitable assumptions that may imitate the change process itself
- but how to assess assumptions independently?

Durlauf: Is there an underlying optimisation by agents? (Complexity and Empirical Economics, *EJ*, 2005)

3. Substitute for Experiment

When actual experiments are perhaps:

- pragmatically impossible: scale, time
- theoretically impossible: counterfactuals
- ethically impossible: e.g. taxation, no minimum wage

or to complement lab experiments

Agent-Based Models v. Economic Experiments

Hailu & Schilizzi (2004, p.155) compare and contrast ABMs with experiments using human subjects, under the headings:

- Approach to inference, or micro-macro relationship
- Specification of behavioural rules
- Informational problems
- Degree of control
- Explanation of agents' choices
- Temporal length of analysis
- Representativeness / realism
- Data
- Cost

4. Tool for Experimentalists

- to inspire experiments
- to preselect possible systems & set-ups
- to analyse experiments (statistical adjustment of data)

5. For Learning

A pedagogic device through play ...

See Mitchell Resnick. *Turtles, termites, and traffic jams: Explorations in massively parallel microworlds*. MIT Press, 1997.

Play with NetLogo models, and experience emergence: Life is famous, and others too.

Summary

A simulation imitates one process by another process

With Social Sciences: few good descriptions of static aspects, and even fewer of dynamic aspects (Remember: existence, uniqueness, stability)

Robust Predictions from Simple Theory

(from Latané, 1996)

Four conceptions of simulation as a tool for doing social science:

- 1. As a scientific tool: theory + simulation + experimentation
- 2. As a language for expressing theory:
 - natural language,
 - mathematical equations (i.e., closed form), and
 - computer programs, such as C++, Java, etc.
- 3. As an "easy" alternative to thinking: robust coding
- 4. As a machine for discovering consequences of theory: if this, then that.

A Third Way of Doing Science

(from Axelrod & Tesfatsion 2006)

Deduction + Induction + Simulation.

- Deduction: deriving theorems from assumptions
- Induction: finding patters in empirical data
- Simulation: assumptions → data for inductive analogsis

S differs from D & I in its implementation & goals.

S permits increased understanding of systems through controlled computer experiments

Emergence of self-organisation

Examples: ice, magnetism, money, markets, civil society, prices, segregation.

Defn: emergent properties are properties of a system that exist at a higher level of aggregation than the original description of the system

Adam Smith's Invisible Hand → **prices**

Schelling's segregation model:

People move because of a weak preference for a neighbourhood that has at least 33% of those adjoining the same (colour, race, whatever) \rightarrow segregation.

Need models with more than one level to explore emergent phenomena.

Families of Simulation Models

- System Dynamics SD (from differential equations)
- Cellular Automata CA (from von Neumann & Ulam, related to Game Theory)
- 3. Multi-agent Models MAM (from Artificial Intelligence)
- 4. Learning Models LM (from Simulated Evolution and from Psychology)

Comparison of Simulation Techniques

G & T compare these (and others):

Technique	Number	Communication	Complexity	Number
	of Levels	between agents	of agents	of agents
SD	1	No	Low	1
CA	2+	Maybe	Low	Many
MAM	2+	Yes	High	Few
LM	2+	Maybe	High	Many

Number of Levels: "2+" means the technique can model more than a single level (the individual, or the society) and the interaction between levels.

This is necessary for investigating emergent phenomena.

So "agent-based models" excludes Systems Dynamics models, but can include the others.

Simulation: The Big Questions

from: www.csse.monash.edu.au/~korb/subjects/cse467/questions.html

- What is a simulation?
- What is a model?
- What is a theory?
- How do we test the validity of any of the above?
- When do we trust them, what sort of understanding do they afford us?
- What is an experiment? What does it mean to experiment with a simulation?
- What is the role of the computer in simulation?
- How does general systems dynamics influence simulations?
- How do we handle sensitivity to initial conditions?
- How precisely can a simulation approximate real life / a model?
- How do we decide whether to use a theory / model / simulation / lab experiment / intuition for a given problem?
- Does a simulation have to tell us something?
- How complex is too complex, how simple is too simple?
- How much information do we need to (a) build and (b) test a simulation?
- How/when can the transition from a quantitative to a qualitative claim be made?

Verification & Validation

Verification (or internal validity): is the simulation working as you want it to:

— is it "doing the thing right?"

Validation: is the model used in the simulation correct?

— is it "doing the right thing?"

To Verify: use a suite of tests, and run them every time you change the simulation code — to verify the changes have not introduced extra bugs.

Validation

Ideally: compare the simulation output with the real world.

But:

- 1. stochastic : complete accord is unlikely, and the distribution of differences is usually unknown
- path-dependence: output is sensitive to initial condistions/parameters
- 3. test for "retrodiction": reversing time in the simulation
- 4. what if the model is correct, but the input data are bad?

Use Sensitivity Analysis, to ask:

- robustness of the model to assumptions made
- which are the crucial initial conditions/parameters?

use: randomised Monte Carlo, with many runs.

Judd's ideas (2006)

"Far better an approximate answer to the right question ... than an exact answer to the wrong question."

— John Tukey, 1962.

That is, economists face a tradeoff between:

the numerical errors of computational work

and
the specification errors of analytically tractable models.

Judd on Validation

Several suggestions:

- Search for counterexamples:

 If found, then insights into when the proposition fails to hold.
 If not found, then not proof, but strong evidence for the truth of the proposition.
- Sampling Methods: Monte Carlo, and quasi-Monte Carlo → standard statistical tools to describe confidence of results.
- 3. Regression Methods: to find the "shape" of the proposition.
- 4. Replication & Generalisation: "docking" by replicating on a different platform or language, but lack of standard software an issue.
- 5. Synergies between Simulation and Conventional Theory.

Axelrod on Model Replication and "Docking"

Docking: a simulation model written for one purpose is aligned or "docked" with a general purpose simulation system written for a different purpose.

Four lessons:

- 1. Not necessarily so hard.
- 2. Three kinds of replication:
 - a. numerical identity
 - b. distributional equivalence
 - c. relational equivalence
- 3. Which null hypothesis? And sample size.
- 4. Minor procedural differences (e.g. sampling with or without replacement) can block replication, even at (b).

Reasons for Errors in Docking

- 1. Ambiguity in published model descriptions.
- 2. Gaps in published model descriptions.
- 3. Errors in published model descriptions.
- 4. Software and/or hardware subtleties.e.g. different floating-point number representation.

(See Axelrod 2006.)

References:

- R. Axelrod, Advancing the Art of Simulation in the Social Sciences, in J.-P. Rennard (ed.), *Handbook of Research on Nature-Inspired Computing for Economy and Management*, (Hershey, PA: Idea Group Inc., 2006)
- R. Axelrod & L. Tesfatsion, On-Line Guide for Newcomers to Agent-Based Modeling in the Social Sciences, in L. Tesfatsion & K.L. Judd (eds.), *Handbook of Computational Economics*, *Vol. 2: Agent-Based Computational Economics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2006. www.econ.iastate.edu/tesfatsi/abmread.htm
- A. Hailu & S. Schilizzi, Are Auctions More Efficient Than Fixed Price Schemes When Bidders Learn? Australian Journal of Management, 29(2): 147–168, December 2004. www.agsm.edu.au/eajm/0412/hailu_etal.html
- S. Hartmann, The world as a process: Simulations in the natural and social sciences. In R. Hegselmann, U. Mueller, & K.G. Troitzsch, eds., Modelling and simulation in the social sciences: From the philosophy of science point of view, vo. 23 of Series A: Philosophy and methodology of the social sciences, pp. 77–100. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- K. L. Judd, Computationally Intensive Analyses in Economics, *Handbook of Computational Economics, Volume 2: Agent-Based Modeling*, ed. by Leigh Tesfatsion & Kenneth L. Judd, Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, 2006, Ch. 2.
- B. Latané, Dynamic social impact: Robust predictions from simple theory. In R. Hegselmann, U. Mueller, & K.G. Troitzsch, eds., Modelling and simulation in the social sciences: From the philosophy of science point of view, vo. 23 of Series A: Philosophy and methodology of the social sciences, pp. 287–310, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- M. Resnick. *Turtles, termites, and traffic jams: Explorations in massively parallel microworlds.* MIT Press, 1997.